8:06-cv-03651-GRA Date Filed 02/28/07 Entry Number 20 Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON DIVISION

Ceferina Gayo Hess,

C/A No. 8:06-3651-GRA-WMC

Plaintiff,

٧.

ORDER

Lander University, et al.

[Written Opinion]

Defendants.

This matter is before this Court for a review of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(c), D.S.C., and filed on January 30, 2007. Plaintiff brings ten causes of action related to her employment as a professor at Lander University. On January 25, 2007, plaintiff filed a motion to dismiss her case. On January 29, 2007, defendants responded in support of the motion.

Plaintiff brings this claim *pro se*. This Court is required to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally. Such pleadings are held to a less stringent standard than those drafted by attorneys. *Gordon v. Leeke*, 574 F.2d 1147, 1151 (4th Cir. 1978). This Court is charged with liberally construing a pleading filed by a *pro se* litigant to allow for the development of a potentially meritorious claim. *Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982).

The magistrate makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and responsibility for making a final

determination remains with this Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). This Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and this Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). This Court may also "receive further evidence or recommit the matter to the magistrate with instructions." *Id.* In the absence of specific objections to the Report and Recommendation, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198 (4th. Cir. 1983). Plaintiff replied to the Report and Recommendation on February 13, 2007 and stated that she did not object to the Report and Recommendation.

After a review of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, this Court finds that the report is based upon the proper law. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is accepted and adopted in its entirety.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to dismiss is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

G. Ross Anderson, Jr.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

a Galvan Je

February 28, 2007

Anderson, South Carolina